UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/784,891	02/24/2004	Hirotomi Nemoto	107348-00393	9987	
4372 ARENT FOX I	7590 06/27/2007 PLLC	EXAMINER			
1050 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, N.W.			EPPS, TODD MICHAEL		
SUITE 400 WASHINGTO	N, DC 20036	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			3632		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			06/27/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/784,891	NEMOTO ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Todd M. Epps	3632	

	Todd M. Epps	3632					
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):							
(1) <u>Todd M. Epps</u> .	(3) Joey Wujciak (primary).						
(2) Michelle Connell (attorney).	(4)						
Date of Interview: <u>18 June 2007</u> .							
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2	2)∐ applicant's representative	·]					
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e) No.						
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1 and 11</u> .							
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>U.S. Pat #6,422,546 to Nemoto et al. and U.S. Pat #6,406,010 to Yano et al</u> .							
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) № N/A.							
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .							
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)							
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INT FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTE requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.	last Office action has already OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY ERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, V	been filed, APP ' DAYS FROM T WHICHEVER IS	LICANT IS THIS LATER, TO				

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's attorney discussed the distinguishing features of the present invention. Applicant is requesting reconsideration of independent claims 1 and 11 as being rejected 103 by the Nemoto's reference in view of Yano's reference. The attorney pointed out that Yano's reference do not teach the limitation of "wherein operation of said active anti-vibration supporting device is prohibited when an abnormality in an operational state of the engine is detected." The Examiner pointed out that Yano's reference teach the concept of wherein operation of a device is prohibited when an abnormality in an operational state of the engine is detected. Clearly, no agreement was reached on this matter. In response to the previous office action, applicant's attorney will file an appeal and present all arguments presented in this interview at that time.